

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/091,024	Applicant(s) HOUSER ET AL.	
	Examiner Brian J. Davis	Art Unit 1621	

All Participants:

 (1) Brian J. Davis.

 (2) Stephen T. Hunnius.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 April 2006
Time: __

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

All pending claims; 1, 7 and 13 in particular.


Prior art documents discussed:

none
Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 **BRIAN DAVIS**
PRIMARY EXAMINER
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Since it appeared that applicant's representative wished to limit the independent claims to the allowable subject matter, the examiner called to suggest changes to the claims which would accomplish this. The detailed changes described in the Examiner's Amendment were agreed to in order to pass the allowable subject matter to issue.